Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/005,399	PETERSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hung T. Nguyen	2636	
All Participants:	Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>Non Final Rejection</u>		
(1) <u>Hung T. Nguyen</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>David G. Maire</u> .	(4)	•	
Date of Interview: 25 May 2005	Time: <u>10:30 AM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No			
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:	•		
Claims discussed: Claims 3-14		-	
Prior art documents discussed: Orschek (U.S. 5,394,137), MacDonnell et al. (U.S. 3,854,417), Hosaka et al. (U.S. 4,962,570)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Non Final Rejection mailed out on 3/16/2005 failed to overcome the claims 3-14 and claims 3-14 are allowed.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
·			
	-		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)	